



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,900	09/28/2001	Yasuo Endo	01609/LH	5737	
1933 75	590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
220 Fifth Aven	ue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•••	NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			2625	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,900	ENDO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen M. Brinich	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2005.					
<i>′</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5,7-23 and 27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,12-23 and 27 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
⁷ 2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	e of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/19/06.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Response filed 7/19/05 (page 17, line 6 - page 19, line 22), with respect to the rejection(s)of claim 1 under 35 USC §102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection (as well as the rejections of dependent claims 2-5, 7-8, 10, 12, & 16-23 under 35 USC §102 and the dependent claims 9, 11, & 13 under 35 USC §103) has been withdrawn. The rejections of claims 6 & 24-26 have been obviated by their cancellation.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 USC §112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, lines 4-7, the phrase "one of the items of position data stored in the name storing means is based

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/966,900

Art Unit: 2625

comprises a plurality of the items of image picking-up position data" is unclear as to the relationship between the recited "one of the items of position data stored in the name storing means" and the recited "plurality of the items of image picking-up position data".

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-9 & 12-27 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 10-11, insofar as they are understood, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 1 & 27 (and dependent claims 2-5, 7-13, & 16-23),

Applicant's arguments in the Response filed 7/19/05 (page 17,

line 6 - page 19, line 22) have been considered and found

persuasive.

Re claim 14 (and dependent claim 15), see paragraph 6 of the 4/19/05 Office Action.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

Application/Control Number: 09/966,900

Art Unit: 2625

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,900 Page 5

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich Examiner Technology Division 2625

smb **Swb** March 20, 2006

Riomas D. LEC